

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 Lawrence Morelock,
5
6 Plaintiff,
7
8 v.
9 Charmaine Yap, *et al.*,
10 Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01936-RFB-BNW


Report & Recommendation

11 The Court held a status hearing on June 13, 2023 to ascertain whether Plaintiff Lawrence
12 Morelock would be pursuing any claims and amending his complaint. During the hearing, *pro*
13 *bono* counsel Travis Barrick informed the Court that Mr. Morelock would be “dismissing his
14 claims.” ECF No. 51. At this stage, there is no operative complaint, as Mr. Morelock had until
15 June 23, 2023 to file an amended complaint. Given Plaintiff’s position in court today, it is clear
16 that he will not be filing an amended complaint. As a result, the Court recommends that this case
17 be dismissed without prejudice.

18 **NOTICE**

19 This Report and Recommendation is submitted to the United States District Judge
20 assigned to this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this Report and
21 Recommendation may file a written objection supported by points and authorities within 14 days
22 of being served with it. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may waive the
23 right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).

24
25 DATED: June 13, 2023.

26 
27 BREND A WEKSLER
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28